arXiv:1401.7481v1 [physics.acc-ph] 29 Jan 2014

International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series
© World Scientific Publishing Company

Quasi-free photoproduction of pion-pairs off protons and neutrons

M. Oberle and B. Krusche
for the A2-, Crystal Ball- and TAPS-collaborations

Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 82
Ch-4056 Basel, Switzerland,
Markus.Oberle@unibas.ch

Received Day Month Year
Revised Day Month Year

Beam-helicity asymmetries and mass-differential cross sections have been measured at the MAMI accelerator in Mainz for the photoproduction of neutral and mixed-charge pion pairs in the reactions $\boldsymbol{\gamma}p \to n\pi^0\pi^+$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}p \to p\pi^0\pi^0$ off free protons and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}d \to (p)p\pi^0\pi^-$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}d \to (n)p\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}d \to (n)n\pi^0\pi^+$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}d \to (p)n\pi^0\pi^0$ off quasi-free nucleons bound in the deuteron for incident photon energies up to 1.4 GeV. Circularly polarized photons were produced in bremsstrahlung processes of longitudinally polarized electrons and tagged with the Glasgow-Mainz magnetic spectrometer. The decay products (photons, protons, neutrons, and charged pions) were detected in the 4π electromagnetic calorimeter composed of the Crystal Ball and TAPS detectors. Using a full kinematic reconstruction of the final state, excellent agreement was found between the results for free and quasi-free protons, indicating that the quasi-free neutron results are also a close approximation of the free-neutron results. Comparisons of the results to predictions from model calculations suggest that especially the reaction mechanisms in the production of the mixed-charge final states are still not well understood, in particular at low incident photon energies in the second nucleon resonance region.

Keywords: Keyword1; keyword2; keyword3.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Hf, 123.1K

1. Introduction

The fundamental properties of the strong interactions in the non-perturbative range are closely related to the excitation spectrum of nucleons, which is not fully understood yet and therefore represents an intensively discussed topic. The apparently poor match [1] between the experimental database for excited nucleon states and model predictions based on Quantum Chromodynamics 'inspired' quark models has motivated huge efforts in experimental and theoretical development. To overcome the limitations in the available database, which was dominated by the results from pion scattering on nucleons and thus biased against nucleon resonances with small couplings to $N\pi$, was the primery target. Huge advances in accelerator and detector technology allow for photoproduction of mesons, which thus has become a prime tool in this research. Sequential decays involving intermediate excited states

are playing an essential role in these processes and are especially important for resonances from the third resonance region and above, where the cross sections from many single-meson channels are decreasing. These types of reactions have attracted much interest in recent years and thus the photoproduction of pseudoscalar meson pairs, mostly $\pi\pi$ but also $\pi\eta$, has been studied in detail experimentally (see Refs. [2–10] for recent results). The measurement of pion pairs with different charge combinations and also measurements of their production off both nucleons is mandatory for an isospin decomposition of the reaction, helping to identify contributions from N and Δ resonances. At least 23 independent variables would have to be measured in order to fix amplitudes and phases in the description of photoproduction of pseudo-scalar meson pairs [11] and thus a complete measurement appears unrealistic. But already the measurement of at least some polarization observables can provide valuable constraints for the reaction models, since these observables are often very sensitive to small details in the reaction mechanisms.

2. Experiment and analysis

The experiments were performed at the tagged photon facility at the Mainz Microtron accelerator MAMI[12,13]. Detailed information about the different components of the detector setup and analysis techniques can be found in Refs. [4,14–21]. The charged pions and the photons from the π^0 decay were detected in coincidence



Fig. 1: Top and middle row: $m(\gamma\gamma)$ and ΔM for the $\pi^-\pi^0 p$ final state, red triangles: data, vertical lines: applied cuts, dashed curve: background fit (only for $m(\gamma\gamma)$), black dashed line: MC for the $\pi^-\pi^0 p$ final state, cyan short dashed line: MC of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ final states, blue full line sum of MC (only for ΔM). bottom row: Beam helicity asymmetry $I^{\odot}(\Phi)$ for the free (black stars) and quasi-free proton data (blue triangles) and for the quasi-free neutron data (red triangles) for the mixed-charge final states compared to model calculations from [22] (all results preliminary).

with the recoil nucleons. Standard analysis steps, like cuts on the invariant mass m($\gamma\gamma$), missing mass $\Delta M = |P_\gamma + P_N - P_{\pi^0} - P_{\pi^\pm}| - m_N$ and coplanarity $\Delta\phi$ (azimuthal angular difference between the three-momenta of the two-pion system and the recoil nucleon), led to a very clean signal extraction, see Fig. 1.

The beam-helicity asymmetry is defined as in Refs. [23,24,25]: $I^\odot(\Phi) = \frac{d\sigma^+ - d\sigma^-}{d\sigma^+ + d\sigma^-}$ where $d\sigma^\pm$ are the differential cross sections for each of the two photon helicity states.

A comparison of our free proton data to previously measured beam-helicity asymmetries [25] showed a good agreement. In order to eliminate effects from the nuclear Fermi motion in the quasi-free data an analysis was performed that used the invariant mass W of the final state extracted from the four-momenta of the mesons and the recoil nucleons (rather than being approximated from the incident photon energy neglecting the Fermi momenta). The quasi-free proton results obtained this way are in good agreement with the free proton results.

3. Results and conclusions

The agreement between the free and quasi-free proton data demonstrates that the kinematic reconstruction of the final state eliminates effects from nuclear Fermi motion and that no other nuclear effects like final state interactions are important. This suggests also that the quasi-free neutron data are a good approximation of a



Fig. 2: left picture: coefficients of the fits to $I^\odot(\Phi)$ as function of the cm-energy W for the neutral channel; left-hand side: free and quasi-free proton data, right-hand side: comparison of proton and neutron data. Solid curves: model results from [22]. Dotted curves: solution BnGa2011-1 from [26], dash-dotted curves: solution BnGa2011-2 from [26]. (Blue) diamonds: model results from [5].
right picture: coefficients for the mixed-charge channel; same notation as above, model calculations from [22]

free neutron target.

Due to its symmetry properties, $I^{\odot}$ can be expanded in a sine series ($I^{\odot}(\Phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin(n\Phi)$) which can be fitted to the data. This allows for a more compact presentation of the results. In Fig. 2 the energy dependence of the parameters A_n for $n \leq 4$ (higher orders were not significant) are shown for free and quasi-free data and all four channels. The model calculations show a good agreement for A_1 of the neutral channel, whereas the agreement is less good for A_2. For the mixed-charge asymmetries the agreement is rather poor and it seems that the reaction mechanisms are still not understood very well. The present data will certainly constrain future model analyses of this reactions.

Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge the outstanding support of the accelerator group and operators of MAMI. This work was supported by Schweizerischer Nationalfonds, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 443, SFB/TR 16), DFG-RFBR (Grant No. 05-02-04014), UK Science and Technology Facilities Council, STFC, European Community-Research Infrastructure Activity (FP6), the US DOE, US NSF and NSERC (Canada).

References

1. B. Krusche and S. Schadmand, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51**, 399 (2003).
2. A.V. Sarantsev et al., Phys. Lett. B **659**, 94 (2008).
3. U. Thoma et al., Phys. Lett. B **659**, 87 (2008).
4. F. Zehr et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 98 (2012).
5. V. Kashevarov et al., Phys. Rev. C **85**, 064610 (2012).
6. J. Ajaka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 052003 (2008).
7. I. Horn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 202002 (2008).
8. I. Horn et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **38**, 173 (2008).
9. V. Kashevarov et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **42**, 141 (2009).
10. V. Kashevarov et al., Phys. Lett. B **693**, 551 (2010).
11. W. Roberts and T. Oed, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 055201 (2005).
12. H. Herminghaus et al., IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science. **30**, 3274 (1983).
13. K.-H. Kaiser et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **593**, 159 (2008).
14. I. Anthony et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **301**, 230 (1991).
15. S.J. Hall, G.J. Miller, R. Beck, P.Jennewein, Nucl. Inst.Meth. A **368**, 698 (1996).
16. J.C. McGeorge et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **37**, 129 (2008)..
17. A. Starostin et al., Phys. Rev. C **64**, 055205 (2001).
18. R. Novotny, IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science **38**, 379 (1991).
19. A.R. Gabler et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **346**, 168 (1994).
20. S. Schumann et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **43** 269 (2010).
21. D. Watts, in *Calorimetry in Particle Physics, Proceedings of the 11th International Conference, Perugia, Italy 2004*, edited by C. Cecchi, P. Cenci, P. Lubrano, and M. Pepe (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005), p. 560
22. A. Fix and H. Ahrenhövel, Eur. Phys. J. A **25** 115 (2005).
23. L. Roca, Nucl. Phys. A **748**, 192 (2005).
24. M. Oberle et al., Phys. Lett. B **721**, 237 (2012).
25. D. Krambrich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 052002 (2009).
26. A.V. Anisovich et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **48** 15 (2012).